

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/532,114 | 06/23/2006 | Francois Schutze | 032013-119 | 9051 | |
| 23911 7590 02/17/2010 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G | | |
| P.O. BOX 143 WASHINGTO | 00 N, DC 20044-4300 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1614 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/17/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

10/532,114 SCHUTZE ET AL.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

| Office Action Summary | | A | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cincorionon Cummary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication and | Phyllis G. Spivack | 1614 | l dua a a | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 If NO period for reply is agreeful at above, the maximum statutory period to the provision of 37 CFR 1.15 If NO period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with 19 Leuka. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing agency drate term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | , | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ja | nuary 2010. | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the | e merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-21 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 9-21</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement | | | | | | | |
| and dasjost to resultation arrange | olosion roqui omoni. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SS/C5) | ST DORRE OF HIGHIST I | CHAIL PROPERTY. | | | | | | |

| Attachment(s) | | |
|--|--|--|
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S6/05) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | |
| D. D. C. L. T. T. L. L. DW. | | |

Art Unit: 1614

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office Action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on January 4, 2010 has been entered.

New claims 19-21 are presented. Accordingly, claims 1-6 and 9-21 are now under consideration.

Claims 1-6 and 9-18 remained rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brulls, M., U.S. Patent 6,730,685, in view of <u>Facts & Comparisons</u>, in the last Office Action.

Brulls teaches or suggests pharmaceutical compositions that are combinations of tenatoprazole and H₂-blockers, such as ranitidine. See column 7, lines 22-26.

Tenatoprazole is exemplified as a compound of Formula I at the top of column 12.

Brulls' teaching is drawn to treatment of diseases relating to gastric hyperacidity, such as gastric and duodenal ulcers and reflux esophagitis. See columns 6-7 under <u>Use of the Invention</u>. A dosage range for tenatoprazole is taught to be 1-100 mg once or twice a day (column 7, lines 14-15). Both oral and parenteral administration is disclosed in column 3, lines 1-8. As required by instant claim 5, sodium or potassium salts are disclosed in claims 4 and 5. As required by instant claims 4, 10 and 11, <u>Facts & </u>

Art Unit: 1614

Comparisons is provided only to teach an oral dose of the H₂-blocker ranitidine to be 150 mg and a parenteral dose to be 50 mg.

Applicants argue Brulls does not disclose or suggest pharmaceutical compositions that specifically are tenatoprazole and ranitidine, for which Applicants state unexpected results are provided. Applicants urge the two active agents may be taken separately and that tenatoprazole is not specifically named.

Applicants' argument has been given careful consideration but is not found persuasive. The rejection of record of claims 1-6 and 9-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable over Brulls, M., U.S. Patent 6,730,685, in view of Facts & Comparisons, and presently extended to include new claims 19-21, is maintained. Uchiyama et al., J. Pharm. Pharmacol., (abstract), is provided for evidentiary purposes only, to show tenatoprazole is more potent and exhibits a longer duration of action compared with omeprazole. Hatlebakk et al., Clin. Pharmacokinetics (abstract), is provided for evidentiary purposes only, to show proton pump inhibitors exhibit a slow onset of action and that clinical practice often calls for multiple drug therapy for the treatment of gastric acid-related disorders. Further, ranitidine exhibits a long duration of action.

Therefore, in view of the pharmacological effects of tenatoprazole and ranitidine, one skilled in the gastroenterology art would have been motivated to select these two agents in combination to treat gastric hyperacidity. According to Hatlebakk, in order to optimize a therapeutic effect, a combination of agents is needed to treat gastroesophageal reflux disease and esophagitis. The administration of an H₂-receptor

Art Unit: 1614

antagonist – and specifically ranitidine – provides an on-demand effect due to its rapid onset of action. Uchiyama provides clear motivation to select tenatoprazole from among proton pump inhibitors in view of its longer duration of action.

No unexpected results are shown in Table 2 on page 8 of the specification following the administration of a capsule formulation having tenatoprazole 20 mg and ranitidine 200 mg. Applicants have not shown this combination of tenatoprazole and ranitidine to be markedly superior to the control of gastric acidity compared to the administration of each component alone.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack whose telephone number is 571-272-0585. The Examiner can normally be reached from 10:30 to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful after one business day, the Examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1614

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 16, 2010

/Phyllis G. Spivack/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614

Page 5